



31 January 2025



# Background and Implementation Statement

#### Background

The regulatory landscape continues to evolve as environmental, social and governance ("ESG") becomes increasingly important to regulators and society. The Department for Work and Pensions ("DWP") has increased the focus around ESG policies and stewardship activities by issuing further regulatory guidance relating to voting and engagement policies and activities. These regulatory changes recognise the importance of managing ESG factors as part of a trustee's fiduciary duty.

#### Implementation Statement

This Implementation Statement is to provide evidence that the East of England Co-Operative Retirement Benefits Scheme (the "Scheme") continues to follow and act on the principles outlined in the Statement of Investment Principles ("the SIP"). The Scheme's current SIP is dated December 2023.

The Implementation Statement details:

- actions the Scheme has taken to manage financially material risks and implement the key policies in its SIP;
- the current policy and approach with regards to ESG and the actions taken with managers on managing ESG risks;
- the extent to which the Scheme has followed policies on engagement covering engagement actions with its fund managers and in turn the engagement activity of the fund managers with the companies in the investment mandate; and
- voting behaviour covering the reporting year up to 31 January 2025 for and on behalf of the Scheme including the most significant votes cast by the Scheme or on its behalf.

This report demonstrates that the Scheme has adhered to its investment principles and its policies for managing financially material considerations including ESG factors and climate change.

#### Summary of key actions undertaken over the Scheme reporting year

The investment strategy that was agreed in December 2023 was successfully implemented over the reporting period in March 2024. The new strategy increased the allocation to JPM Multi-Asset Credit, introduced an allocation to BlackRock Diversified Growth Funds and removed the exposure to LGIM Corporate Bonds. The Scheme also consolidated its LDI portfolio with a single manager, Insight Investment.

# Managing risks and policy actions

Risk / Policy	Definition	Policy	Actions and details on changes to policy
Investment	The risk that the Scheme's position deteriorates due to the assets underperforming.	To select an achievable investment objective and invest in a diversified portfolio of assets.	The Scheme has an achievable agreed expected return and aims to achieve this by investing across a diversified range of asset classes.
Funding	The extent to which there are insufficient Scheme assets available to cover ongoing and future liability cash flows.	The Trustee will agree an appropriate funding basis with the Employer and, in conjunction with this, will consider the investment strategy to ensure an appropriate journey plan is agreed to manage funding risk over time.	The long-term objective and journey plan is discussed when reviewing and refreshing the Scheme's investment strategy.
Covenant	The risk that the sponsoring company becomes unable to continue providing the required financial support to the Scheme.	The Trustee to consider the covenant strength ensuring the Scheme is only exposed to an appropriate level of risk for the covenant to support.	The covenant strength is discussed when reviewing and refreshing the Scheme's investment strategy.
Interest rates and inflation	The risk of mismatch between the value of the Scheme's assets and present value of liabilities from changes in interest rates and inflation expectations.	To hedge at least 85% of interest rate and inflation risks on a Technical Provisions liability basis.	Over the reporting period, the Scheme had allocations to LDI which aim to hedge part of the Scheme's inflation and interest rate risk on a Technical Provisions basis.
Liquidity	Difficulties in raising sufficient cash when required without adversely impacting the fair market value of the investment.	To maintain a sufficient allocation to liquid assets so that there is a prudent buffer to pay members' benefits as they fall due (including transfer values) and to provide collateral to the LDI manager.	The Scheme's assets are held on the Mobius Life investment platform. The Scheme's assets are daily traded so liquidity risk is minimised.
Market	Experiencing losses due to factors that affect the overall performance of the financial markets.	To remain appropriately diversified and hedge away any unrewarded risks, where practicable.	The Scheme is invested in various funds across asset classes, therefore diversifying this risk.

Credit	Default on payments due as part of a financial security contract.	To diversify this risk by investing in a range of credit markets across different geographies and sectors where possible.	The Scheme invests in pooled credit funds which invest across a variety of geographies and sectors, diversifying the underlying credit risk.
Environmental, Social and Governance	Exposure to ESG factors, including but not limited to climate change, which can impact the performance of the Scheme's investments.	To appoint managers who satisfy the following criteria, unless there is a good reason why the manager does not satisfy each criteria:  1. Has a responsible Investment ('RI') Policy / Framework  2. ESG factors implemented via Investment Process  3. A track record of using engagement and any voting rights to manage ESG factors  4. ESG specific reporting  5. UN PRI Signatory	As part of the investment management of the Scheme's assets, the Trustee expects the investment managers to make decisions on:  • The selection, retention and realisation of investments taking into account all financially material considerations  • The exercise of rights (including voting rights) attached to these investments  • Undertaking engagement activities with investee companies and other stakeholders where appropriate.
Currency	The potential for adverse currency movements to have an impact on the Scheme's investments.	To invest in GBP denominated share classes where possible.	All the Scheme's investments are in GBP denominated share classes.
Non-financial	Any factor, save for the above, that is not expected to have a financial impact on the Scheme's investments.	Such matters are not taken into account in the selection, retention or realisation of investments.	

# Changes to the SIP

No changes were made to the SIP during the 12-month period to 31 January  $\,$ 

## Implementing the current ESG policy and approach

#### ESG as a financially material risk

The SIP describes the Scheme's policy with regards to ESG as a risk as it potentially could have a material impact on investment risk and return outcomes. The Trustee also recognises that long-term sustainability issues, particularly climate change, present risks and opportunities that increasingly may require explicit consideration. This section details how the Scheme's ESG policy is implemented.

The assets of the Scheme are invested in pooled vehicles and the Trustee accepts that pooled investments will be governed by the individual policies of the investment managers. These policies are reviewed as part of the consideration of pooled investments. As such, the Trustee has given its investment managers full discretion in evaluating ESG factors, including climate change considerations, exercising voting rights and stewardship obligations attached to the investments, in accordance with their own corporate governance policies and current best practice, including the UK Corporate Governance Code and UK Stewardship Code.

The Trustee is increasingly considering how ESG, climate change and stewardship is integrated within investment processes in appointing new investment managers and monitoring the existing investment managers. The Trustee will consider the ESG ratings provided by its Investment Advisor and/or platform provider on how the investment managers embed ESG factors into their investment processes. A change in ESG rating (or lack of ESG rating) does not mean that the fund will be removed or replaced automatically.

#### Implementing the current ESG policy

The following table outlines the areas which the Scheme's investment managers are assessed when evaluating their ESG policies. The Trustee will review the Scheme's ESG policies and engagements periodically to ensure they remain fit for purpose.

Areas for monitoring and engagement	Method for monitoring and engagement	Circumstances for additional monitoring and engagement
Environmental, Social, Corporate Governance factors	The Trustee receives information from its investment advisor/platform provider on the	The manager has not acted in accordance with its policies and frameworks.
and the exercising of rights.	investment managers' approaches to engagement.	The manager's policies are not in line with the Trustee's policies in this area.

Through the engagement described above, the Trustee will work with its investment advisor and/or managers to improve its alignment with the above policies. Where sufficient improvement is not observed, the Trustee will review the relevant investment manager's appointment and will consider terminating the arrangement.

## **Engagement and Voting**

The Trustee has appointed Mobius Life as the platform provider which implements polices on its behalf. Mobius Life has adopted the managers' definitions of significant votes and has not set stewardship priorities. The managers have provided examples of votes they deem to be significant, and Mobius Life has shown the votes relating to the greatest exposure within the Scheme's investments.

Please see the attached document from Mobius Life for details on engagement and voting actions including a summary of the activity, covering the 12-month period ending 31 January 2025. The platform provider also provides examples of any significant votes where possible

	www.isio.com
h n s	e information contained herein, and views expressed by Isio are based solely on information provided by the investment managers, e information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual tity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without propriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

**East of England Co-op Retirement Benefits Scheme - IS0009226** 

**ESG REPORT – 31-January-2025** 



#### **Summary**



**Scheme: East of England Co-op Retirement Benefits Scheme - IS0009226** 

**Statement Date: 31-Jan-2025** 

Fund	Value (£)	% Holding
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Shorter Nominal Fund	448,901.64	0.27%
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Longer Nominal Fund	1,699,435.74	1.01%
JPM Unconstrained Bond Fund	39,979,385.92	23.88%
L&G Life N UK Equity Index Fund	11,140,662.27	6.65%
BlackRock IJF Dynamic Diversified Growth Fund	34,950,692.18	20.87%
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Longer Real Fund	31,536,453.63	18.83%
L&G Life DB World Equity Index Fund	34,134,624.48	20.39%
Insight Liquidity Fund	4,745,471.24	2.83%
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Shorter Real Fund	8,813,051.38	5.26%

#### **Summary**



Fund	Fund Manager ESG Credentials	Fund ESG Credentials		
runu	Data Provided by FM as at	% Qs answered	Data Provided by FM as at	
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Shorter Real Fund	31/12/2024	95	31/12/2024	
Insight Liquidity Fund	31/12/2024	95	31/12/2024	
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Longer Nominal Fund	31/12/2024	95	31/12/2024	
L&G Life N UK Equity Index Fund	31/12/2024	97	31/12/2024	
BlackRock IJF Dynamic Diversified Growth Fund	31/12/2024	97	31/12/2024	
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Shorter Nominal Fund	31/12/2024	95	31/12/2024	
JPM Unconstrained Bond Fund	31/12/2024	95	31/12/2024	
L&G Life DB World Equity Index Fund	31/12/2024	97	31/12/2024	
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Longer Real Fund	31/12/2024	95	31/12/2024	

#### Disclaimer:

This report has been designed by Mobius Life to support Pension Schemes. Mobius Life understand the information produced in this report may be used as an input for an implementation statement but is not responsible for producing the implementation statement. When compiling this report, Mobius Life has shared all the information provided by the external fund manager. Where a response field is blank this means the question is not applicable or a response was not provided by the fund manager.

Mobius Life accepts no responsibility or liability for the accuracy or content of the data provided by the external fund managers.



Questions	Insight Investment		
Do you have an ESG policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Yes  A complete copy of our Responsible Investment Policy can be found by using the following link:  https://www.insightinvestment.com/globalassets/documents/responsible-investment/responsible-investment-reports/responsible-investment-policy.pdf	Are Senior Management accountable for ESG or Climate Change risks?	Effective stewardship requires strong governance processes, and this is especially true for a global investment firm. For this reason, our internal governance activities are structured to support broad communication and collaboration, effective decision-making, improve accountability and ensure transparency.  Governance of the firm is carried out through Insight's Board of Directors. The Board has legal and regulatory responsibility for all aspects of the business and ancillary activities of the various legal entities within Insight. Insight's governance structure ensures oversight of our entire investment, operational and business activities. The Executive Management Committee (EMC) is the key business management committee for the company and its subsidiaries are responsible for strategy and execution, operational management and finance.  Insight's Board recognises that delivering effective stewardship includes many different facets of an organisation, and as such there are multiple reporting lines within Insight that feed directly and indirectly into the Board. Insight has aimed to integrate environment, governance and social (ESG)-related activities into its business-as-usual processes. Establishing key committees such as the Insight Responsibility Oversight Committee (IROC) (see below for more information) has been one way of achieving this, and progress on ESG issues can also be found in quarterly reports provided to the Board. Other forums such as the Remuneration Committee play a key role in ensuring alignment of interests between Insight staff and underlying investors.  The EMC and/or its sub-committees are typically responsible for designing initiatives that contribute towards good stewardship. The Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Global Chief Investment Officer (CIO) and Global Head of Distribution are members of both the EMC and the Board, and are responsible for updating the Board on responsible investment and stewardship-related issues, including at Board strategy meetings. The Board is therefore kep
Do you have a firm ESG rating?	Yes  Insight has adopted a deliberately conservative approach to firm-level attestations since the reporting on the year to 31 March 2023. In our Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) submission we avoid generalisations which could be interpreted as overstatements. The reporting module is open to manager interpretation and requires managers to be comfortable generalising. The range of approaches taken to this means that any comparisons across managers is not like for like. While the PRI reopened their reporting in 2023, the template does not yet cater for managers with broad and deep fixed income capability, customised/segregated mandates	Do you have a dedicated team that considers ESG and Climate Change related factors?	Yes  We believe that delivering superior investment solutions depends on the effective management of the risks and opportunities presented by responsible investment related risk factors, such as environmental or social issues, as well as other long-term value drivers. As such, resourcing of responsible investment capabilities is crucial to our business, and our resourcing in this area is under continuous review to ensure it remains appropriate given the importance of stewardship activity. Insight's approach to stewardship and responsible investment is the responsibility of all investment teams and decision-makers, supported, championed and overseen by our dedicated Responsible Investment Team and governance structure.





Questions	Insight Investment		
	and/or operations spanning multiple jurisdictions with different regulatory regimes.  Insight is participating in PRI working groups to provide further input to develop the reporting mechanism to encourage adequate flexibility to accommodate disclosures for a broader range of sub asset classes and variety of investment approaches. We provide reporting through several firm level reporting initiatives and would point you to our Responsible Investment annual report and the relevant strategy level documentation for details of our investment approach.		Investment teams: Responsibility for our responsible investment activity is integrated within our risk management (liability-driven investment (LDI)) and fixed income processes. This includes our 21-strong Credit Analysis Team who are responsible for analysis of relevant and material governance practices and environmental and social risk factors that could affect the entities in which we invest, and engagement with relevant stakeholders.  Responsible Investment Team: Our Responsible Investment Team coordinates responsible investment and maintenance of our proprietary Prime ESG and climate risk ratings, we describe the team in more detail below.  Public Policy function: Our Public Policy function oversees broader issues impacting Insight and its clients, with a particular focus on engagement with policymakers for upcoming regulatory and policy changes. These covers sustainability-related factors but also other topics where we believe that advocacy at a systemic level will support the outcomes desired by our clients.  Responsible Investment Team  Insight's dedicated Responsible Investment Team is led by Robert Sawbridge, Head of Responsible Investment. The Responsible Investment Team is embedded within Insight's wider Exted Income Group.  Below we outline the key members of the team dedicated to responsible investment and their responsibilities:  • Robert Sawbridge, Head of Responsible Investment, guides and oversees the overall responsible investment programme at Insight across asset classes and investment teams. Robert's primary focus is on ensuring effective integration of responsible investment and stewardship activity.  • Chandra Gopinathan, Head of Responsible Investments the ensuring effective integration of responsible investment and plays an important role in our macro stewardship and advocacy initiatives.  • Prabie Commandation, Profitolio management role in our macro stewardship and engagement process with issuers and counterparties.  • Jorg Soens, Senior Solutions Specialist, and Eleanor Austin, Solut
Please provide your UNPRI survey scores	We set out our 2024 modular scores below:	Do you rely on any third parties to provide ESG and Climate Change related analysis/research?	Yes Insight's proprietary Prime Corporate ESG Ratings, Prime Climate Risk Ratings,





Questions	Insight Investment		
			Prime Sovereign ESG Risk Ratings and Prime Net Zero Alignment Framework datasets are supplemented by and incorporate numerous third-party datasets. The external data sources are selected and reviewed by Insight's Responsible Investment Team in conjunction with the Credit Analysis Team.  It has been our observation that the underlying methodology supporting many
	PRI ratings are assessed against a range of indicators. Full details available from www.unpri.org.  Insight Assessment report can be sourced here: https://ctp.unpri.org/dataportalv2/transparency		readily available external ESG data from mainstream ESG data providers tend to be designed with equity strategies in mind. Furthermore, whilst there is generally more correlation amongst data providers on issuer credit ratings, there remains significant variation amongst data providers on ESG ratings. So we have taken data from a variety of sources, supplemented with our own analysis. In forming our proprietary tools and scoring frameworks we effectively supplement our analysts' research with data from multiple third-party data providers, such as:  • MSCI  • Sustainalytics  • VigeoEiris  • RepRisk  • S&P Trucost  • CDP  • Science-Based Targets initiative  • Transition Pathway Initiative  • Climate Action 100+  • ICE (applicable to Muncipals only)  • LGX (applicable to Impact funds only)  We also incorporate open-source data from:  • World Bank  • V-Dem  • Freedom House  • Transparency International  • IMF  • Fragile States Index  Please note the application of the above model will vary by asset class and may not be used at all in some cases.
Do you have a Climate Change policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Yes  We have developed a broad approach and a variety of methods that we use to evaluate and manage climate related risks and opportunities, details of which can be found in our annual climate change report:  https://www.insightinvestment.com/globalassets/documents/r esponsible-investment/responsible-investment-reports/uk-eu-climate-change-report-2024.pdf	Do you create your own ESG or Climate Change related scores	Insight is focused on precision investment and risk management to help our clients achieve their goals. Information on material ESG risks can be crucial for effective investment decisions, but ESG data providers often disagree, and there are gaps in available information. ESG data providers are also often equity-centric in their views.  We decided to apply our experience in analysing ESG risks in taking data from multiple inputs, selected and adjusted for relevance and materiality using our inhouse expertise, to generate our own ESG ratings that we believe more accurately and reliably reflect material risks for our asset classes.  This led us to create Prime: Insight's proprietary ESG ratings, with ESG and climate risk ratings, and now net-zero alignment categorisation, focused on corporate issuers; and ESG risk and impact ratings for sovereign issuers.  Prime ratings are generated using inputs from numerous ESG data providers, adjusted for quality and relevance by Insight's credit and data experts. Our proprietary methodology aggregates, weights and maps these adjusted inputs, according to their significance for different sectors, geographies, etc. Proprietary systems are in place to feed through Prime data, in a consistent way, with the aim of helping our analysts and portfolio managers consider material ESG risks, informing their decision-making and engagement, and to enable tailored portfolios for clients requesting specific sustainability criteria.  Our four sets of Prime ratings are as follows:



Questions	Insight Investment		
			Prime corporate ESG ratings: First launched in 2019 and enhanced regularly since, our Prime corporate ESG ratings tool assesses issuers' ESG risk. This quantitative framework effectively integrates our analysts' materiality assessments, supplemented with data from multiple third-party data providers. The tool generates a Prime ESG rating and Prime ESG momentum signal for more than 3,000 investment grade, high-yield and emerging market issuers.  Prime climate risk ratings: First launched in 2017 and enhanced regularly since, the Prime climate risk ratings are structured around the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) framework and use physical and transition risk analysis to generate a precise comparison of over 16,000 issuers using raw data.  Prime sovereign risk and impact ratings: First launched in 2018 and enhanced regularly since, the Prime sovereign ESG framework is a quantitative proprietary assessment of more than 120 countries' sustainability performance, focusing on ESG risks and countries' alignment with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs). Overall and momentum scores capture performance using open-source data inputs.  Prime net-zero alignment categorisation: First launched in 2023, the Prime net-zero alignment framework compares the net-zero alignment of corporate issuers and is intended to sit alongside the credit research process while supporting our engagement programme.  Please note the application of Prime scores and model will vary depending on the underlying asset class.
Does your company have a policy on equality and diversity in the workplace?	Yes  We have included a copy of our Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI) Policy as an attachment.	Do you provide any reporting publicly or to clients with regard to ESG and Climate Change related issues? How often?	All clients at Insight receive reporting in line with their stated monthly, quarterly or annual reporting requirements, and we regularly engage with them to ensure our reporting provides the information and transparency they require. Responsible investment is now a topic at most client meetings, and to reflect this significant interest, our reporting to clients may now include reporting on ESG factors, regardless of whether their mandate includes specific ESG exclusions, constraints or targets.  Derivative instruments  For strategies in which exposure is taken mainly through the form of derivatives it presents reporting challenges. Any data relating to these strategies gives an indication of economic exposure and does not imply 'ownership'. In particular, extending this to carbon numbers can lead to misleading conceptions of what a 'carbon footprint' entails.  Insight is working hard to develop reports which give a meaningful representation of carbon profiles for derivative-heavy strategies; however, as it stands, we feel the data is insufficient and potentially misleading. We are looking to work with the wider market to support the development of an appropriate solution for ESG reporting for derivatives. We will of course keep you informed when reporting is available in this regard.
Do ESG related factors get considered with respect to performance management of investment companies and funds?	Yes  Insight's philosophy and approach towards responsible investment places an emphasis on the integration of responsible investment and stewardship principles within investment decision-making, where appropriate.  Our approach is underpinned by the belief that ESG can be important drivers of investment value. Governance factors	Are you signatories of the FRC UK Stewardship Code or equivalent?	Yes  Please refer to the following link for details of our latest stewardship report:  https://www.insightinvestment.com/globalassets/documents/responsible-investment/stewardship-code/uk-eu-responsible-stewardship-at-insight-2024-report.pdf





Questions	Insight Investment	
	ranging from the quality of oversight and risk management mechanisms to institutional frameworks to respect for the rule of law can materially influence investment performance. Social factors, such as local labour dynamics or demographic changes, can materially shift investors' perceptions. Environmental risks – such as natural disasters, weather patterns and climate change – can all have a significant effect	
	on a company or a country's economic and political outlook.  Climate change in particular is far-reaching in its long-term implications, and so is of particular relevance for our clients, many of whom have long-term objectives.	
	Insight believes integrating relevant ESG factors into fundamental investment analysis and engaging with stakeholders to improve performance is essential to effectively managing portfolio risk. Understanding underlying material risks is essential in helping us to decide whether an investment is over or under-priced or fairly valued. We aim to continuously develop our responsible investment approach to support our clients to provide greater certainty in achieving their investment goals and deliver better risk-adjusted returns in the long term.	
	We also believe that a responsible approach reflects Insight's focus on doing the right thing with regard to the markets in which we operate, our people, and the wider world, particularly the environment.	
	From an investment perspective, we believe investing responsibly means seeking to take material and relevant risks into account, including analysis of ESG factors, when making investment decisions regarding the acquisition, monitoring and disposal of investments. To this end, our integration of ESG factors into our research aims to directly support our acquisition, monitoring and exit decisions by making sure our portfolio managers have accurate information through our proprietary Prime ESG Ratings, in-house research and stewardship programme.	
	To this end, we aspire to integrate relevant ESG factors across mandates, where practicable, based on the relevance to the investment strategy (see below) and subject to the terms of our mandate with the underlying client. This integration takes place regardless of whether such mandates include specific ESG exclusions, constraints or targets and is underpinned by a belief that delivering superior investment solutions can depend on the effective management of the risks and opportunities presented by a range of factors, often including those typically categorised as ESG.	
	However, the way that integration manifests depends upon the nature of the mandates in question. As Insight's business has grown, we have developed or acquired a broad range of strategies which necessitates a pluralism in our approach to effective integration. For example:  • For our systematic fixed income strategies, integration is rules-based and largely dependent on exclusionary and tilting processes – engagement is not part of the toolkit for these mandates as there is limited fundamental analysis within the investment process for these strategies.  • For many of our LDI mandates, while we follow a process that integrates ESG factors where they are relevant (e.g. in counterparty selection or at an underlying asset-class level),	



Questions	Insight Investment	
	ESG factors rarely drive the underlying investment process as our clients typically instruct Insight to invest in line with a specified benchmark, leaving limited capacity to deviate in terms of instrument selection.  • Some asset classes or strategies including currency hedging and certain derivative strategies fall outside of the scope of ESG integration currently – typically due to a lack of data or relevance of ESG factors to the investment case.  • Where more traditional fundamental analysis is conducted (e.g. in corporate credit or sovereign debt strategies), generally a deeper integration is possible, but nuances in data availability and the applicability to the investment case means integration varies by asset class and sometimes even within an asset class.	

Questions	Legal and General (LGIM)		
Do you have an ESG policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Yes  Our policies are implemented consistently at a firm-wide level. LGIM's purpose is to create a better future through responsible investing. Aligned to this purpose, ESG is a central underpinning to all of LGIM's activities and especially within strategic initiatives. LGIM has developed and publicly disclosed its policies for stewardship activities. Our policies are reviewed annually and updated where necessary to ensure they remain aligned with the various evolving regulations, best practice and client feedback.	Are Senior Management accountable for ESG or Climate Change risks?	We have many people across the business contributing to our ESG insights and research. They sit across various teams with different levels of responsibility relating to ESG but all feed into our responsible investing capabilities. As at the end of June 2022, there are a total of 47 LGIM employees with roles dedicated to ESG, some of which are outlined in more detail below. • There are 20 people in our global Investment Stewardship team, led by Kurt Morriesen. The team is responsible for developing and carrying out LGIM's investment stewardship and responsible investment activities as well as the oversight, implementation and integration of ESG across the firm. • Nick Stansbury, Head of Climate Solutions, leads our energy transition approach and is one of our most prominent spokespeople on this topic. He leads our Climate Solutions team which has a total of four team members. • As Global Head of Responsible Investment Integration, Michael Marks' role spans all functions within LGIM from investment stewardship, distribution and investment teams to operational functions such as data and technology; embedding ESG across the firm in all areas and ensuring that focus is maintained on delivering the capabilities required by all stakeholders. • Amelia Tan has recently joined LGIM as the Head of Responsible Investing Strategy for Investments (January 2022). This role ensures that LGIM stays at the cutting edge of innovation within responsible investing and creates a coordinated approach across asset classes, which is embedded throughout our funds and portfolios. • Caroline Ramscar, Head of Sustainable Solutions, is responsible for engaging with clients on sustainability and the development of responsible investment solutions. This is a role which was created to develop LGIM's sustainable strategy. Two further colleagues are dedicated to supporting clients' journeys to adopt more responsible investing capabilities are broader than solely ESG. Our Global Research and Engagement Groups (GREGs) bring together colleagues fro



Questions	Legal and General (LGIM)		
Do you have a firm ESG rating?	Yes  LGIM has an award-winning Investment Stewardship team, with a track record of over 20 years. External validation and oversight keep us on our toes and propels us forward to keep improving. We participate in industry-wide assessments of our engagement and stewardship processes and are proud to have been nominated by industry bodies like the ICGN, ICSA and UN PRI for our: • Engagement activities disclosure • Marketwide involvement in lobbying activities • Strong implementation of ESG and corporate governance matters into our stewardship activities.	Do you have a dedicated team that considers ESG and Climate Change related factors?	Yes  There are a total of 37 LGIM employees with roles dedicated to ESG. In addition, we have a further 58 colleagues whose roles have very substantial contribution to our responsible investing capabilities and whose objectives reflect this although their responsibilities are broader than solely ESG.
Please provide your UNPRI survey scores		Do you rely on any third parties to provide ESG and Climate Change related analysis/research?	Bloomberg, CDP, Diligent, HSBC, InfluenceMap, ISS, IVIS, Maplecroft, Refinitiv, RepRisk, Sustainalytics We obtain a large ESG raw data set from a wide range of data and analysis providers which can be used for voting, engagement, research, index/portfolio construction and management. We typically licence raw data from such providers, as opposed to off-the-shelf ESG scores/rating, as we believe our knowledge and expertise of investing and engaging with companies are best placed to identify material and relevant ESG factors. This quantitative data is supplemented by qualitative research from academic and NGO research as well as sell-side broker reports.
Do you have a Climate Change policy that is integrated into the investment process?	We have developed proprietary ESG tools, used across different asset classes and investment strategies, which incorporate climate change metrics such as carbon emissions, fossil fuel exposure or 'green' revenues. These tools are used to support fund managers, develop new investment solutions, assist the investment stewardship team in its engagements with companies, and help clients understand more about the climate risks and opportunities in their portfolios. LGIM has developed a bespoke climate solutions framework, Destination@Risk, which allows us to quantify the implications of different climate change scenarios across the global economy, key sectors, and individual securities, including a forward-looking assessment of 'temperature alignment'. The outputs of the framework are used to inform our climate-related engagements, to support our investment process, and to develop climate reporting for clients.	Do you create your own ESG or Climate Change related scores	We have developed a rules-based methodology by which to score companies against ESG metrics; this generates the LGIM ESG Score. The LGIM ESG Score aligns with how we engage with, and vote on, the companies in which we invest. To facilitate this process, we publish the scores and explain the metrics on which they are based. In addition, the ESG score is used by our index teams in the creation of ESG aligned index-products. We have identified 30 ESG indicators based on our expertise and experience in corporate reporting, corporate disclosures and transparency. We developed the scores with the aim of improving market standards globally, while monitoring ESG developments across our entire investment universe. The scores help drive our engagement process and are aligned with LGIM's voting policy and principles – we are more likely to vote against companies with poor scores at their annual general meetings (AGMs).
Does your company have a policy on equality and diversity in the workplace?	Yes  LGIM is an award winning company, we are committed to delivering the right products and solutions to our clients and we believe the key to our success is our people. Steered by the Executive team, diversity and inclusion is embedded in our culture from the way we recruit, develop and connect with employees, to how we steward responsible investing through ESG. To show our commitment to making diversity and inclusion part of everything we do, the role of Head of Inclusion & Culture was created in 2018. Colette Comerford was appointed to the role with responsibility for driving the evolution and continuous improvement of LGIM's culture, diversity and inclusion objectives, working closely with the executive team, our Senior HR team, LEGIT (Legal & General Inclusion team) and L&G's Group Diversity and Inclusion team.	Do you provide any reporting publicly or to clients with regard to ESG and Climate Change related issues? How often?	Yes Quarterly
Do ESG related factors get considered with respect to performance management of investment companies	Yes ESG factors are embedded into our evaluation of investment	Are you signatories of the FRC UK Stewardship Code or equivalent?	Yes LGIM has been a signatory to the UK Stewardship Code every year since its



Questions	Legal and General (LGIM)		
and funds?	opportunities across many investment strategies to identify unrewarded risk, and protect and enhance the long-term value of all our clients' investments. Our investment framework is designed with several objectives in mind: • Encouraging companies to improve their behaviour, and the quality of their ESG disclosures, we can raise the standards of entire markets, and help generate sustainable, long-term returns for our clients • Assessing a company's ESG risks: we see unmanaged ESG factors, meanwhile, as posing potential risks and opportunities, which can have a material impact on the performance of investments • Identifying the winners of the future, the companies to which investors will allocate everlarger amounts of capital.	inception and we provide copies of our responses on our website.	

Questions	BlackRock Inc	_	
Do you have an ESG policy that is integrated into the investment process?	BlackRock's approach to ESG Integration:  BlackRock's role as a fiduciary to our clients is to help them navigate investment risks and opportunities. The money we manage is not our own – it belongs to our clients, many of whom make their own asset allocation and portfolio construction decisions. BlackRock considers many investment risks in our processes. In order to seek the best risk-adjusted returns for our clients, we manage material risks and opportunities that could impact portfolios, including financially material Environmental, Social and/or Governance (ESG) data or information, where available.  BlackRock's clients have a wide range of perspectives on a variety of issues and investment themes. Given the wide range of unique and varied investment objectives sought by our clients, BlackRock's investment teams have a range of approaches to considering financially material E, S, and/or G factors. As with other investment risks and opportunities, the relevance of E, S and/or G considerations may vary by issuer, sector, product, mandate, and time horizon. Depending on the investment approach, this financially material E, S and/or G data or information may help inform due diligence, portfolio or index construction, and/or monitoring processes of our portfolios, as well as our approach to risk management. For additional information see our full ESG integration statement: https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/publication/bl k-esg-investment-statement-web.pdf	Are Senior Management accountable for ESG or Climate Change risks?	Board oversight BlackRock's Board engages with senior management on near- and long-term business strategy and reviews management's performance in delivering on BlackRock's framework for long-term, financial value creation on behalf of clients. Sustainability, including climate-related issues is a critical component of the firm's overall business strategy and the objectives of senior management over which the Board has oversight.  The Nominating, Governance & Sustainability Committee of the Board ("NGSC") oversees investment stewardship, public policy, corporate sustainability, and social impact activities. The NGSC periodically reviews corporate and investment stewardship-related policies and programs, as well as significant publications relating to environmental (including climate), social, and other sustainability matters.  BlackRock's Board is responsible for overseeing risk management activities. The Risk Committee of the Board ("Risk Committee") assists the Board in overseeing, identifying, and reviewing enterprise, fiduciary, and other risks, including those related to climate and other sustainability risks, that could have a material impact on the firm's performance.  Management oversight BlackRock's senior management oversees progress towards BlackRock's strategic objectives, including climate- and sustainability-related objectives. The below management committees that share responsibility for management of various climate and other sustainability-related risks and opportunities.  • Global Executive Committee: Led by the CEO and consisting of BlackRock's senior leadership team, the GEC sets the strategic vision and priorities of the firm and drives accountability at all levels. It is actively involved in the development of, and receives updates on, BlackRock's sustainability strategy.  • The Investment Sub-Committee of BlackRock's Global Executive Committee (GEC) oversees firm wide investment processes, including ESG integration.  Members of the Sub-Committee include the firm's President, Head of Portfo



Questions	BlackRock Inc		
			owners, or first line of defense.  2. BlackRock's risk management function, the Risk and Quantitative Analysis (RQA) group is responsible for BlackRock's Investment and Enterprise risk management frameworks and serves as a key part of the second line of defense along with BlackRock Legal and Compliance. RQA evaluates investment risks, including financially material E, S and/or G risks as part of regular investment risk management processes and, where applicable, during regular reviews with portfolio managers. This helps to ensure that such risks are understood, deliberate, and consistent with client objectives. RQA also has a dedicated Sustainability Risk group that partners with risk managers and businesses to oversee sustainability risk across the platform.  3. The third line of defense, BlackRock's Internal Audit function, operates as an assurance function. The mandate of Internal Audit is to objectively assess the adequacy and effectiveness of BlackRock's internal control environment to improve risk management, control, and governance processes.
Do you have a firm ESG rating?	Yes  BlackRock participates in and responds to a variety of ESG questionnaires and surveys. For information regarding BlackRock's awards and recognition, including ESG-related scores and ratings, please see BlackRock's Awards and Recognition report, as Appendix A, available upon request.	Do you have a dedicated team that considers ESG and Climate Change related factors?	Yes Please refer to section 2 of the Appendix B available upon request.
Please provide your UNPRI survey scores	Please refer to Section 1 of Appendix B available upon request.	Do you rely on any third parties to provide ESG and Climate Change related analysis/research?	We are continuously expanding access to high quality data and information sources through Aladdin for public market securities. BlackRock's investment teams have access to a range of third-party data sets and internal materiality-focused ratings across core Aladdin tools, allowing investors to identify appropriate data or information for their unique investment process. Examples of third-party data providers we leverage include MSCI ESG, Sustainalytics, and Clarity AI*.  The Aladdin platform also offers a set of analytic tools, including Aladdin Climate, which amongst other analytics, provides investors with scenario analysis capabilities to help identify financially material investment risks and opportunities associated with the physical impacts of a changing climate and the uncertain transition to a low-carbon world.  In private markets, which inherently have less availability and standardization of financially material E, S and/or G metrics relative to public markets, we continue to progress multiple efforts to better collect, aggregate, evaluate and measure financially material E, S and/or G data or information from private companies and third parties.  Given the evolving nature and significant growth of the Sustainable data landscape, BlackRock continuously conducts diligence on both new and existing sustainable datasets. Our approach considers both qualitative and quantitative factors as well as a data provider's operating model. This approach enables an impartial substantiation of dataset usefulness, and evaluation of the data for risks, availability, effective use, and governance processes.  *BlackRock has a minority investment in Clarity AI.
Do you have a Climate Change policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Yes  BlackRock's role is to offer choice to help meet our clients' objectives, transparency into how those choices could impact portfolios, and our research-based perspective on how structural trends could impact asset prices and investments over time. We continue to innovate for and with clients. As a result, our policy is to work with clients to help them meet their unique investment goals and objectives. Our approach to	Do you create your own ESG or Climate Change related scores	Yes  BlackRock has developed a proprietary sustainability scoring framework, BSI Intel, to capture companies' likelihood of mitigating risks and capturing opportunities associated with sustainability factors.  BSI Intel is a research-driven framework that combines quantitative and qualitative research using a systematic data driven approach to deliver unique sustainability investment insights. It leverages multiple sources of data, including





Questions	BlackRock Inc		
	managing climate-related risks and opportunities is outlined in our 2023 TCFD Report. To read more, please visit: https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/continuous-disclosure-and-important-information/tcfd-report-2023-blkinc.pdf		proprietary BlackRock information, and takes over 100+ individual KPIs that capture the sustainable characteristics of companies across environmental, social, and governance themes.  The framework brings together BlackRock's fundamental views with SASB's Materiality Map to determine the weights of the 15 descriptors across the market. These descriptors are then combined to produce a unique BSI Intel score per company. BSI Intel strategies are designed to overweight companies that will deliver strong risk-adjusted returns in the long run by capturing sustainability risks and opportunities through forward-looking indicators.  More information available upon request.
Does your company have a policy on equality and diversity in the workplace?	We are One BlackRock  We strive to work collaboratively, without silos, to create the best outcomes for all of our stakeholders – our clients, our people, our shareholders, and the communities where we operate.  Our DEI strategy focuses on three key pillars:  1. Our talent and culture across the globe. We attract, develop and retain top talent by cultivating an inclusive work environment where everyone has fair access to opportunities and feels seen, heard, valued, and respected.  2. Our activities to support interested clients. We expand investment choices and business partnership opportunities by maintaining a network of diverse brokers, managers, and suppliers to meet the needs of interested clients, consistent with our fiduciary duty.  3. Our impact in underserved communities. We contribute to the long-term success of underserved communities and help more and more people experience financial well-being through our BlackRock philanthropy and employee-led volunteer efforts.  DEI Policy These Guidelines are applicable, but not limited to, our practices and policies on recruitment and selection; compensation and benefits; professional development and training; promotions; transfers; social impact programs; reductions in force/redundancies/layoffs; terminations/dismissals; and the ongoing maintenance and development of an inclusive work environment built on the premise of equity that encourages and enforces:  • Respectful communication and cooperation between all employees.  • Inclusive management and employee participation, reflecting and representing all groups and employee perspectives.  • Work/life balance through flexible work schedules to accommodate employees' varying needs.  • Employer and employees outributions to the communities we serve to promote a greater understanding and respect for diversity.  All employees have a responsibility to treat others with dignity	Do you provide any reporting publicly or to clients with regard to ESG and Climate Change related issues? How often?	Yes Blackrock is committed to enhancing transparency and availability of reporting around sustainable investing. Today, BlackRock products (regardless of sustainability objectives) have the following data publicly available on our websites, where sufficient and reliable data is available:  • ESG integration statement for the fund. BlackRock considers many investment risks in our processes. In order to seek the best risk-adjusted returns for our clients, we manage material risks and opportunities that could impact portfolios, including financially material Environmental, Social and/or Governance (ESG) data or information, where available. See our Firm Wide ESG Integration Statement for more information on this approach and fund documentation for how these material risks are considered within this product, where applicable.  • Regulatory disclosures, such as for SFDR.  For clients who are invested in actively managed funds on our sustainable product platform, we may also be able to provide additional reporting which covers metrics such as time series' of portfolio ESG scores, portfolio carbon coverage, carbon intensity by sector, and scope 1,2, and 3 emissions metrics, among others. An example of this reporting is shown below. For more information on this reporting and if it is available for your investments, please contact your relationship manager.  For clients who are invested in impact funds, additional impact reporting may be provided. Metrics and KPIs may vary by strategy but are grounded in industry best practices. In addition, BlackRock is a signatory to the Operating Principles of Impact Management. Our disclosure statement can be found here: https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/continuous-disclosure-and-important-information, Journal of the following data publicly available on our websites, where sufficient and reliable data is available:  • ESG integration statement for the fund. BlackRock considers many investment risks in our processes. In order to seek the best risk-adjusted returns for ou





Questions	BlackRock Inc		
	and respect at all times, in compliance with our Code of Conduct. All employees are expected to exhibit conduct that reflects inclusion during work, at work functions on or off the work site, and at all other events sponsored by the company or involving work colleagues. All employees are also required to attend and complete annual mandatory training to further enhance their knowledge in this area.  Managers carry a special responsibility of championing these Guidelines, including taking appropriate actions at all times to ensure a culture of inclusion and respect, and escalating any concerns of conduct inconsistent with BlackRock's policies.  Please refer to BlackRock's publicly available DEI Guidelines as Appendix E available upon request.		example of this reporting is shown below. For more information on this reporting and if it is available for your investments, please contact your relationship manager.  For clients who are invested in impact funds, additional impact reporting may be provided. Metrics and KPIs may vary by strategy but are grounded in industry best practices. In addition, BlackRock is a signatory to the Operating Principles of Impact Management. Our disclosure statement can be found here: https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/continuous-disclosure-and-important-information/2023-operating-principles-impact-management-disclosures.pdf
Do ESG related factors get considered with respect to performance management of investment companies and funds?	BlackRock's role as a fiduciary to our clients is to help them navigate investment risks and opportunities. The money we manage is not our own – it belongs to our clients, many of whom make their own asset allocation and portfolio construction decisions. BlackRock considers many investment risks in our processes. In order to seek the best risk-adjusted returns for our clients, we manage material risks and opportunities that could impact portfolios, including financially material Environmental, Social and/or Governance (ESG) data or information, where available.  BlackRock's clients have a wide range of perspectives on a variety of issues and investment themes. Given the wide range of unique and varied investment objectives sought by our clients, BlackRock's investment teams have a range of approaches to considering financially material E, S, and/or G factors. As with other investment risks and opportunities, the relevance of E, S and/or G considerations may vary by issuer, sector, product, mandate, and time horizon. Depending on the investment approach, this financially material E, S and/or G data or information may help inform due diligence, portfolio or index construction, and/or monitoring processes of our portfolios, as well as our approach to risk management. For additional information see our full ESG integration statement: https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/publication/bl k-esg-investment-statement-web.pdf	Are you signatories of the FRC UK Stewardship Code or equivalent?	BlackRock's UK Stewardship Code signatory status was renewed for the 4th consecutive year on July 22, 2024, after, BlackRock Investment Stewardship submitted its 2023 Investment Stewardship Annual Report to the Financial Reporting Council on April 30, 2024.  The Financial Reporting Council's announcement is available here: https://www.frc.org.uk/library/standards-codes-policy/stewardship/uk-stewardship-code-signatories/?query=blackrock&org_type=#signatoryList  BlackRock Investment Stewardship reports its activities to regulators and may also report them to third-party organizations, which assess whether those activities adhere to their respective stewardship codes. While BlackRock Investment Stewardship's activities are completely independent, clients are increasingly interested in understanding how the team's work aligns with the guidance set out in different market-level stewardship codes such as the UK Financial Reporting Council's Stewardship Code.  BlackRock Investment Stewardship has been a signatory to the revised 2020 UK Stewardship Code (the Code) since 2021. As mandated by signatory status to the Code, every year BlackRock Investment Stewardship submits a report on its investment stewardship activities to the Financial Reporting Council, which oversees the Code. The Financial Reporting Council then assesses the report against the Code's 12 Principles and reporting expectations. Based on this annual submission, BlackRock's signatory status to the Code was first granted in September 2021, renewed in October 2022, August 2023, and again most recently in July 2024.  BlackRock's most recent submission to the Financial Reporting Council is available here: https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/publication/annual-stewardship-report-2023.pdf  BlackRock's statement of adherence to the UK Stewardship Code is available here: https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/publication/statement-of-adherence-uk-stewardship-code.pdf  Additional sources to consult: Financial Reporting Council. "UK Stewardship



Questions	BlackRock Inc		
			stewardship-code-signatories/?query=blackrock&org_type=#signatoryList

Questions	JP Morgan Investment Management Inc		
Do you have an ESG policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Yes Yes	Are Senior Management accountable for ESG or Climate Change risks?	Yes Yes
Do you have a firm ESG rating?	Yes Yes	Do you have a dedicated team that considers ESG and Climate Change related factors?	Yes Yes
Please provide your UNPRI survey scores		Do you rely on any third parties to provide ESG and Climate Change related analysis/research?	No No
Do you have a Climate Change policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Yes Yes	Do you create your own ESG or Climate Change related scores	Yes Yes
Does your company have a policy on equality and diversity in the workplace?	Yes Yes	Do you provide any reporting publicly or to clients with regard to ESG and Climate Change related issues? How often?	Yes. More often than quarterly.
Do ESG related factors get considered with respect to performance management of investment companies and funds?	Yes Yes	Are you signatories of the FRC UK Stewardship Code or equivalent?	Yes Please see the stewardship report available upon request.



Activity	Insight LDI Funds		
Do you undertake Engagements for this fund?	Yes		
How many engagements have you had with companies in the past 12 months?	16	How many engagements were made regarding environmental topics?	4
How many engagements were made regarding social topics?	2	How many engagements were made regarding governance topics?	2
Which form of engagement is most representative of the approach taken for this fund over the last 12 months:  Sending standardised letters to companies Sending bespoke letters to companies  Standard period engagement with companies  Active private engagement on specific issues  Active public engagement on specific issues		How many engagements were made regarding other issues?	11
Please discuss some of the key engagements and outcomes from the last 12 months.	Morgan Stanley-Q4 2024  US61748UAF93  Environment- Natural Resource use/impact Environment- Climate change  The issuer is a global financial services firm which originates, trades, manages and distributes capital for governments, institutions and individuals  The issuer provided answers to our Counterparty Sustainability Assessment Questionnaire in Q2 2024.  We have used these responses to conduct benchmarking of our 25 largest banking counterparties to understand how ESG risks are managed by the organisation.  We produced a feedback report for the issuer which was based on comparing responses from the benchmarking exercise, where we analysed discrepancies between counterparty responses to the questions.  We engaged with the issuer to discuss our analysis on the issuer's responses to our counterparty ESG questionnaire where it has historically performed poorly. The engagement focused on issuer's disclosures on the impact of financing on natural capital, specifically deforestation, and the issuer's commitment to decarbonisation initiatives via green and social bond issuance  This engagement is aligned to SDG 13 Climate Action and SDG 14 Life Below Water.  Whilst the issuer did not perform well in the counterparty questionnaire, due to weak policies and disclosures, it has actively engaged with us and provided access to several senior-level stakeholders at the bank. We appreciate the issuer facilitating a productive discussion.  We believe the issuer has made some progress in several areas, on sustainable financing and natural capability considerations. However, disclosures in these areas are poor, and the issuer has failed to set exclusions or highlight red		



Activity	Insight LDI Funds		
	lines for clients, particularly regarding deforestation. We have recommended that exclusions are implemented. Overall, we are comfortable that, despite some of the issues the corporate face, they are more engaged than some of their counterparts. We issued a feedback report to the issuer, and we look forward to continuing our engagement in the future		
Do you engage in voting for this fund?	No	Please provide details on why you do not engage in voting for this fund.	LDI Fund
Do you monitor the carbon emission levels or similar of the underlying companies in the fund?	As a leader in investment management, Insight understands that we must demonstrate the highest standards of accountability and transparency in our stewardship programme. We have an unwavering commitment to stewardship. We believe effective stewardship includes taking clients' needs into account and working for the benefit of all stakeholders. Given Insight's business focus on risk management, liability driven investment (LDI) and fixed income, for clients with very longterm investment horizons, our activity looks different when compared to the activity of many of our peers in the investment management industry, which focus largely on equities. We aim for transparency across all our activities, and collaborate with stakeholders where we believe we can maximise the impact of our engagement.  Engagement with issuers is a key part of our credit analysis and monitoring and complements our approach to responsible investment. As a matter of policy, our credit analysts regularly meet with issuers to discuss ESG related and nonESG related issues. Given the size and depth of our credit analyst resource, one of the key inputs into our ESG analysis is the direct information which we receive from companies via engagements that take place. We also have a dedicated stewardship programme, which includes our prioritised ESG engagement themes. Our prioritised themes for this year are climate change, natural capital and labour management (human rights in the case of sovereign issuers). We use a researchled approach to identify poor performers to initiate targeted engagement to encourage positive improvements across each of these themes.  With regards to our holdings in corporate bonds, in 2023, Insight conducted 992 engagements with corporate bond issuers, including derivative counterparties, the majority of which incorporated discussions of environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues. We also work with governments, companies and civil society organisations to build knowledge and awareness, to share expertise and to create	What was the actual turnover rate over the last 12 months?	



Activity	Insight LDI Funds	
	and objectives of an engagement relating to ESG factors, we adopt a Double Materiality Framework, whereby our approach is to categorise different themes to describe whether they have a greater impact in terms of their Financial Materiality or their Environmental & Social Materiality. Each potential engagement theme is prioritised by magnitude of importance to both enterprise financial value and societal stakeholders. Using a Double Materiality framework helps us to categorise the importance of different topics in their implications for an investments' financial value (financial materiality) and for how that investment may impact the world at large (environmental and social materiality).	
	We are focused on driving positive change throughout the market at both an individual issuer level and also market wide, as evidenced by our membership to an extensive list of working groups and initiatives outlined on the next sheet. In particular, our involvement with Climate Action 100+: where the world's largest investors have united for change, aligns investor engagement to encourage the world's largest carbon emitting companies to take action on climate change.	

Activity	Insight Liquidity Fund	Insight Liquidity Fund		
Do you undertake Engagements for this fund?	Yes			
How many engagements have you had with companies in the past 12 months?	3	How many engagements were made regarding environmental topics?	0	
How many engagements were made regarding social topics?	0	How many engagements were made regarding governance topics?	0	
Which form of engagement is most representative of the approach taken for this fund over the last 12 months:  • Sending standardised letters to companies Sending bespoke letters to companies  • Standard period engagement with companies  • Active private engagement on specific issues  • Active public engagement on specific issues		How many engagements were made regarding other issues?	0	
Please discuss some of the key engagements and outcomes from the last 12 months.	The Bank of Nova Scotia - Q4 2022 XS2596369657 1.07% Environment - Climate change, Governance - Remuneration and Strategy, Financial and Reporting - Strategy/purpose "The Bank of Nova Scotia (BNS) is a Canadian multinational banking and financial services institution headquarted in Toronto. It is one of Canada's Big Five banks.  We engage with BNS as part of our counterparty engagement progremme to understand its ESG capabilities; and to provide high level feedback ona recently completed questionnaire and to discuss the areas of underperformance			



Activity	Insight Liquidity Fund		
Activity	In more detail.  BNS was one of the top financiers of fossil fuels from 2016-2021 and we found that the bank has week fossil fuel financing policies compared to its peers. Their published statements for coal and Artic financing are very brief in comparison with other banks. Whilst they do not finance standalone projects for thermal coal or coal power generation, existing mining and utility clients continue to be supported and their policy does not include a full coal phase out date.  This engagement is aligned to SDG7 Affordable and clean energy, SDG9 Industy, innovation and infrastructure and SDG13 Climate Action."  "Engagements with BNS were conducted on 22 June 2022 by our Credit Analyst at a 121 private meeting with their Investor Relations team and separately on 14 Oct 2022 by our Senior Stewardship Analyst on a 121 telephone call with their Corporate Social Responsibility team.  BNS signed up to the Net Zero Banking Alliance in October 2021 when they started the process of setting sector-based carbon intensity reduction targets. They took longer than some peers as they wanted to build this expertise in house by hiring new skilled employees, purchased a data provider and validated the data.  BNS' impact lending target is below many other peers we surveyed. Its climate financing target of \$350 billion by 2030 is much smaller than many other banks. BMO is a similar size bank to BNS and has a target to mobilise \$400 billion towards sustainable finance by 2025.  BNS links ESG performance to executive remuneration but has used mainly qualitative metrics to date. "  "We challenged the bank on the areas of underperformance in the questionnaire relative to its peers. BNS was receptive to our comments. We will provide feedback and monitor the progress of our feedback over time.  They now have set targets using 2019 as a baseline across 4 sectors: Oil & Gas, Power & Utilities, Residential Mortagages and Agriculture. The decarbonisation strategies for the latter two are in an earlier stage due to challenges with data		
	It is also starting to transition from qualitative towards quantitative metrics with regards to executive remuneration to make the approach more robust and transparent.		
Do you engage in voting for this fund?	No	Please provide details on why you do not engage in voting for this fund.	Liquidity Fund
Do you monitor the carbon emission levels or similar of the underlying companies in the fund?	As a leader in investment management, Insight understands that we must demonstrate the highest standards of accountability and transparency in our stewardship	What was the actual turnover rate over the last 12 months?	





Activity	Insight Liquidity Fund	
	programme. We have an unwavering commitment to stewardship. We believe effective stewardship includes taking clients' needs into account and working for the benefit of all stakeholders. Given Insight's business focus on risk management, liability driven investment (LDI) and fixed income, for clients with very longterm investment horizons, our activity looks different when compared to the activity of many of our peers in the investment management industry, which focus largely on equities. We aim for transparency across all our activities, and collaborate with stakeholders where we believe we can maximise the impact of our engagement.	
	Engagement with issuers is a key part of our credit analysis and monitoring and complements our approach to responsible investment. As a matter of policy, our credit analysts regularly meet with issuers to discuss ESG related and nonESG related issues. Given the size and depth of our credit analyst resource, one of the key inputs into our ESG analysis is the direct information which we receive from companies via engagements that take place. We also have a dedicated stewardship programme, which includes our prioritised ESG engagement themes. Our prioritised themes for this year are climate change, natural capital and labour management (human rights in the case of sovereign issuers). We use a researchled approach to identify poor performers to initiate targeted engagement to encourage positive improvements across each of these themes.	
	With regards to our holdings in corporate bonds, in 2023, Insight conducted 992 engagements with corporate bond issuers, including derivative counterparties, the majority of which incorporated discussions of environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues. We also work with governments, companies and civil society organisations to build knowledge and awareness, to share expertise and to create a common voice on these issues when engaging with stakeholders in relations to our clients' investments. In recent years we have engaged with the industry on the transition from LIBOR, central clearing for European pension schemes, RPI reform, with the Office of the Prime Minister and Department for Energy Security and Net Zero in the UK, UN PRI on responsible investment 'progression pathways' and successfully petitioning for a 'mixed goals' UK SDR sustainability label.	
	Our engagements are focused on creating positive change at the organisations we invest in. In determining the nature and objectives of an engagement relating to ESG factors, we adopt a Double Materiality Framework, whereby our approach is to categorise different themes to describe whether they have a greater impact in terms of their Financial Materiality or their Environmental & Social Materiality. Each potential engagement theme is prioritised by magnitude of importance to both enterprise financial value and societal stakeholders. Using a Double Materiality framework helps us to categorise the importance of different topics in their implications for an investments' financial value (financial materiality) and for how that investment may impact the world at large (environmental and social materiality).	



Activity	Insight Liquidity Fund	
	We are focused on driving positive change throughout the market at both an individual issuer level and also market wide, as evidenced by our membership to an extensive list of working groups and initiatives outlined on the next sheet. In particular, our involvement with Climate Action 100+: where the world's largest investors have united for change, aligns investor engagement to encourage the world's largest carbon emitting companies to take action on climate change.	

Activity	L&G Life N UK Equity Index Fund		
Do you undertake Engagements for this fund?	Yes		
How many engagements have you had with companies in the past 12 months?	421	How many engagements were made regarding environmental topics?	199
How many engagements were made regarding social topics?	100	How many engagements were made regarding governance topics?	167
Which form of engagement is most representative of the approach taken for this fund over the last 12 months:  Sending standardised letters to companies Sending bespoke letters to companies  Standard period engagement with companies  Active private engagement on specific issues  Active public engagement on specific issues		How many engagements were made regarding other issues?	
Please discuss some of the key engagements and outcomes from the last 12 months.	-		
Do you engage in voting for this fund?	Yes		
Do you use a third party to vote on your behalf?  If Yes, please provide the details of your provider and any comments	LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and we do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure our proxy provider votes in  LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and we do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure our proxy provider votes in accordance with our position on ESG, we have put in place a custom voting policy with specific voting instructions. For more details, please refer to the Voting Policies section of this document.	Do you conduct your own votes?	LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and we do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure our proxy provider votes in



Activity	L&G Life N UK Equity Index Fund		
How many times did you vote in favour of management?  How many votes did you abstain from?	3	How many votes were proposed across the underlying companies in the fund?  How many times did you vote against management?	10188 612
Do you have a vote you consider the most significant for this fund?:  • Company name • Date of the Vote • Summary of the resolution • On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'most significant'? • Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote • How did you vote? • Rationale of the voting decision • Outcome of the vote • Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Shell Plc 2024-05-21 Resolution 22: Approve the Shell Energy Transition Strategy Thematic - Climate: LGIM is publicly supportive of so called "Say on Climate" votes. We expect transition plans put forward by companies to be both ambitious and credibly aligned to a 1.5C scenario. Given the high-profile nature of such votes, LGIM deem such votes to be significant, particularly when LGIM votes against the transition plan. 7.660654 Against Climate change: A vote against is applied. We acknowledge the substantive progress the company has made in respect of climate related disclosure over recent years, and we view positively the commitments made to reduce emissions from operated assets and oil products, the strong position taken on tackling methane emissions, as well as the pledge of not pursuing frontier exploration activities beyond 2025. Nevertheless, in light of the revisions made to the Net Carbon Intensity (NCI) targets, coupled with the ambition to grow its gas and LNG business this decade, we expect the company to better demonstrate how these plans are consistent with an orderly transition to net-zero emissions by 2050. In essence, we seek more clarity regarding the expected lifespan of the assets Shell is looking to further develop, the level of flexibility in revising production levels against a range of scenarios and tangible actions taken across the value chain to deliver customer decarbonisation. Additionally, we would benefit from further transparency regarding lobbying activities in regions where hydrocarbon production is expected to play a significant role, guidance on capex allocated to low carbon beyond 2025 and the application of responsible divestment principles involved in asset sales, given portfolio changes form a material lever in ShellâC™s decarbonization	Do you have a vote you consider the second most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'second most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Ves,  Unilever Plc 2024-05-01 Resolution 4: Approve Climate Transition Action Plan Yes 4.228016 For Climate change: A vote FOR the CTAP is applied as we understand it to meet LGIM's minimum expectations. This includes the disclosure of scope 1, 2 and material scope 3 GHG emissions and short, medium and long-term GHG emissions reduction targets consistent with a 1.5ŰC Paris goal. Despite the SBTi recently removing their approval of the companyâC™'s long-term scope 3 target, we note that the company has recently submitted near term 1.5 degree aligned scope 3 targets to the SBTi for validation and therefore at this stage believe the company's ambition level to be adequate. We therefore remain supportive of the net zero trajectory of the company at this stage.  Pass LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.



Activity	L&G Life N UK Equity Index Fund		
	strategy.  Pass  LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.		
Do you have a vote you consider the third most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'third most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you company ahead of the vote?	Glencore PIc     2024-05-29     Resolution 12: Approve 2024-2026 Climate Action Transition Plan     Thematic - Climate: LGIM is publicly supportive of so called "Say on Climate" votes. We expect transition plans put forward by companies to be both ambitious and credibly aligned to a 1.5C scenario. Given the high-profile nature of such votes, LGIM deem such votes to be significant, particularly when LGIM votes against the transition plan.     2.334547     Against     Climate Change: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects companies to introduce credible transition plans, consistent with the Paris goals of limiting the global average temperature increase to 1.5°C. While we note the progress the company has made in terms of disclosure, we remain concerned over the company's thermal coal activities, as it remains unclear how the planned thermal coal production aligns with global demand for thermal coal under a 1.5°C scenario.  Pass     LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.	Do you have a vote you consider the fourth most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'fourth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	London Stock Exchange Group plc     2024-04-25     Resolution 4: Approve Remuneration Policy     High Profile meeting: This resolution is considered significant as we overrode our custom vote policy on the basis of the engagement that we had with the company.     1.849148     For     Remuneration - Quantum: A vote FOR is applied as an exception to our policy. This follows productive consultation with the company that resulted in improvements to the proposals initially discussed. Our support of the remuneration policy and the adoption of the EIP is in recognition of Mr Schwimmer's leadership in driving the company's performance, as well as acknowledging the competitive talent market in which the company operates. We will review Mr Schwimmer's pay package on an annual basis under the resolution for approval of the remuneration report and may apply a negative vote in the future should we consider his pay no longer reflects company performance or evolving market norms. We would not expect any significant changes to the executive directors' pay policy within this three-year policy term. It is worth highlighting that, we expect a successor to Mr Schwimmer to not be awarded the same remuneration package as standard if he or she does not bring the same amount of experience, calibre and performance.  pass  LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
Do you have a vote you consider the fifth most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'fifth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision	Yes  • National Grid Plc • 2024-07-10 • Resolution 17: Approve Climate Transition Plan • Thematic - Climate: LGIM is publicly supportive of so called "Say on Climate" votes. We expect transition plans put forward by companies to be both ambitious and credibly aligned to a 1.5C scenario. Given the high-profile nature of such votes, LGIM deem such votes to be significant, particularly when LGIM votes against the transition	Do you have a vote you consider the sixth most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'sixth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision	Yes  SSE Plc 2024-07-18 Resolution 18: Approve Net Zero Transition Report  Thematic - Climate: LGIM is publicly supportive of so called "Say on Climate" votes. We expect transition plans put forward by companies to be both ambitious and credibly aligned to a 1.5C scenario. Given the high-profile nature of such votes, LGIM deem such votes to be significant, particularly when LGIM votes



Activity	L&G Life N UK Equity Index Fund		
Outcome of the vote     Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	plan.  1.740858  For  Climate Change: LGIM is voting in favour of the National Grid Climate Transition plan. We commend the company's efforts in committing to net-zero emissions across all scopes by 2050 and setting 1.5C-aligned near term science based targets. We also appreciate the clarity provided in the 'Delivering for 2035 report' and look forward to seeing the results of National Grid's engagement with SBTi regarding the decarbonisation of heating.  Pass  LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.	Outcome of the vote     Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	against the transition plan.  For  Climate Change: LGIM is voting in favour of the SSE Net Zero Transition Report. We commend the company's efforts in committing to net-zero emissions across all scopes by 2050 and setting short and medium-term targets, in particular absolute scope 3 targets over the mid-term.  Pass  LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
Do you have a vote you consider the seventh most significant for this fund?:	Yes,  Rentokil Initial Plc 2024-05-08 Resolution 11: Re-elect Richard Solomons as Director Thematic - Diversity: LGIM views gender diversity as a financially material issue for our clients, with implications for the assets we manage on their behalf. 0.407700 Against Diversity: A vote against is applied because of a lack of progress on gender diversity on the board. LGIM expects companies to have at least 40% female representation on the board. Pass LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.	Do you have a vote you consider the eighth most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'eighth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Smith & Nephew plc 2024-05-01 Resolution 13: Re-elect Marc Owen as Director Thematic - Diversity: LGIM views gender diversity as a financially material issue for our clients, with implications for the assets we manage on their behalf. 0.351764 Against Diversity: A vote against is applied because of a lack of progress on gender diversity on the board. LGIM expects companies to have at least 40% female representation on the board. Pass LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
Do you have a vote you consider the ninth most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'nineth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes  • Intertek Group Plc • 2024-05-24 • Resolution 6: Re-elect Andrew Martin as Director • Thematic - Diversity: LGIM views gender diversity as a financially material issue for our clients, with implications for the assets we manage on their behalf. • 0.328148 • Against • Diversity: A vote against is applied because of a lack of progress on gender diversity on the board. LGIM expects companies to have at least 40% female representation on the board. While the company commits to consider diverse candidates as part of their succession planning in the next 2	Do you have a vote you consider the tenth most significant for this fund?:  • Company name • Date of the Vote • Summary of the resolution • On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'tenth most significant'? • Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote • How did you vote? • Rationale of the voting decision • Outcome of the vote • Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes  DS Smith Plc 2024-09-03 Resolution 4: Re-elect Geoff Drabble as Director Thematic - Diversity: LGIM views gender diversity as a financially material issue for our clients, with implications for the assets we manage on their behalf. 0.247754 Against Diversity: A vote against is applied because female diversity on the Board has reduced from 40% to 33% following the departure of Louise Smalley. LGIM expects companies to have at least 40% female representation on the board. Addionally, there has been a delay in the succession planning with no indication on whether her replacement will be a



Activity	L&G Life N UK Equity Index Fund		
	years, no firm commitment has been made regarding reaching the FCA's goal of female representation in the top board roles.  Pass LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.		female. Lastly, the company states an 'aspiration to reach 40% women in senior leadership positions by 2030' which we deem too late for the UK market.  Pass  LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
Do you monitor the carbon emission levels or similar of the underlying companies in the fund?	Yes the fund produces approximately 95.0 Weighted Average Carbon Emissions Scope 1 + Scope 2 (Tonnes CO2e per 1 million USD Invested) as of 31 December 2024	What was the actual turnover rate over the last 12 months?	

Activity	BlackRock IJF Dynamic Diversified Growth Fund		
Do you undertake Engagements for this fund?	Yes		
How many engagements have you had with companies in the past 12 months?	2138	How many engagements were made regarding environmental topics?	828
How many engagements were made regarding social topics?	832	How many engagements were made regarding governance topics?	2027
Which form of engagement is most representative of the approach taken for this fund over the last 12 months:  • Sending standardised letters to companies Sending bespoke letters to companies  • Standard period engagement with companies  • Active private engagement on specific issues  • Active public engagement on specific issues		How many engagements were made regarding other issues?	873
Please discuss some of the key engagements and outcomes from the last 12 months.	On August 5th, 2022, BIS increased the level of detail reported on certain engagement topics captured while discontinuing other sub-topics that were seldom used. This provides more relevant information and further transparency to our clients. The report now reflects the improved transparency in any engagement post August 5, 2022.		
Do you engage in voting for this fund?	Yes		
Do you use a third party to vote on your behalf?  If Yes, please provide the details of your provider and any comments	BlackRock's proxy voting process is led by the BlackRock Investment Stewardship team (BIS), which consists of three regional teams – Americas ("AMRS"), AsiaPacific ("APAC"), and Europe, Middle East and Africa ("EMEA") located in seven offices around the world. The analysts with each team will generally determine how to vote at the meetings of the companies they cover. Voting decisions are made by members of the BlackRock Investment Stewardship team with input from investment colleagues as required, in each case, in accordance with BlackRock's Global Principles and	Do you conduct your own votes?	Proxy voting at BlackRock is centralized within the Investment Stewardship team of over 70 specialists. The team is globally coordinated but regionally focused and based as this allows us to take local market norms into consideration in our voting process. The analysts in each regional team generally take responsibility for specific sectors. They are responsible for voting analysis, votingrelated engagement and the vote determination and instruction. In each region, an advisory committee of representatives of different BlackRock investment teams receives periodic reports on voting but it does not



Activity	BlackRock IJF Dynamic Diversified Growth Fund	
	custom marketspecific voting guidelines.	determine how to vote.
	While we subscribe to research from the proxy advisory firms Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) and Glass Lewis, it is just one among many inputs into our vote analysis process, and we do not blindly follow their recommendations on how to vote. We primarily use proxy research firms to synthesise corporate governance information and analysis into a concise, easily reviewable format so that our investment stewardship analysts can readily identify and prioritise those companies where our own additional research and engagement would be beneficial. Other sources of information we use include the company's own reporting (such as the proxy statement and the website), our engagement and voting history with the company, and the views of our active investors, public information and ESG research.	
	In summary, proxy research firms help us deploy our resources to greatest effect in meeting client expectations  • BlackRock sees its investment stewardship program, including proxy voting, as part of its fiduciary duty to and enhance the value of clients' assets, using our voice as a shareholder on their behalf to ensure that companies are well led and well managed  • We use proxy research firms in our voting process, primarily to synthesise information and analysis into a concise, easily reviewable format so that our analysts can readily identify and prioritise those companies where our own additional research and engagement would be beneficial  • We do not follow any single proxy research firm's voting recommendations and in most markets, we subscribe to two research providers and use several other inputs, including a company's own disclosures, in our voting and engagement analysis  • We also work with proxy research firms, which apply our proxy voting guidelines to filter out routine or noncontentious proposals and refer to us any meetings where additional research and possibly engagement might be required to inform our voting decision  • The proxy voting operating environment is complex and we work with proxy research firms to execute vote instructions, manage client accounts in relation to voting and facilitate client reporting on voting	
	We use Institutional Shareholder Services' (ISS) electronic platform to execute our vote instructions, manage client accounts in relation to voting and facilitate client reporting on voting. In certain markets, we work with proxy research firms who apply our proxy voting guidelines to filter out routine or non-contentious proposals and refer to us any meetings where additional research and possibly engagement might be required to inform our voting decision.  BlackRock votes annually at approximately 16,000 shareholder meetings, taking a case-by-case approach to the items put to a shareholder vote. Our analysis is informed by our internally developed proxy voting guidelines, our prevote engagements, research, and the situational factors at a particular company. We aim to vote at all shareholder meetings of companies in which our clients are invested. In cases where there are significant obstacles to voting, such as	





Activity	BlackRock IJF Dynamic Diversified Growth Fund	
	share blocking or requirements for a power of attorney, we	
	will review the resolutions to assess the extent of the	
	restrictions on voting against the potential benefits. We	
	generally prefer to engage with the company in the first	
	instance where we have concerns and give management	
	time to address the issue. We will vote in favor of proposals	
	where we support the approach taken by a company's	
	management or where we have engaged on matters of	
	concern and anticipate management will address them.	
	BlackRock will vote against management proposals where we	
	believe the board or management may not have adequately	
	acted to and advance the interests of long-term investors.	
	We ordinarily refrain from abstaining from both management	
	and shareholder proposals, unless abstaining is the valid	
	vote option (in accordance with company by-laws) for voting	
	against management, there is a lack of disclosure regarding	
	the proposal to be voted, or an abstention is the only way to	
	implement our voting intention. In all situations the	
	economic interests of our clients will be paramount. Our	
	voting guidelines are intended to help clients and companies	
	understand our thinking on key governance matters. They	
	are the benchmark against which we assess a company's	
	approach to corporate governance and the items on the	
	agenda to be voted on at the shareholder meeting. We apply	
	our guidelines pragmatically, taking into account a	
	company's unique circumstances where relevant. We inform	
	our vote decisions through research and engage as	
	necessary. We review our voting guidelines annually and	
	update them as necessary to reflect changes in market	
	standards, evolving governance practice and insights gained	
	from engagement over the prior year.	
	BlackRock voting guidelines:	
	Australian securities	
	Hong Kong securities	
	Asia ex Japan and Hong Kong securities	
	Latin America securities (in English and Spanish)	
	Canadian securities	
	Europe, Middle Eastern and African (EMEA) securities	
	US securities	
	Chinese securities (in English and Simplified Chinese)	
	Japanese securities (in English and Japanese)	
	Our market-specific voting guidelines are available on our	
	website at https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/about-	
	us/investment-stewardship#principles-and-guidelines	
	On behalf of our clients we intend to vote at all shareholder	
	meetings of companies in which our clients are invested. In	
	certain markets, there might be regulatory constraints or	
	operational issues which can affect BlackRock's ability to	
	vote certain proxies, as well as the desirability of doing so.	
	We do not support impediments to the exercise of voting	
	rights and will engage regulators and companies about the	
	need to remedy the constraint. Where we experience	
	impediments in relation to a specific shareholder meeting,	
	we will review the resolutions to assess whether the business	
	under consideration warrants voting despite the	
	complications caused by the impediment. For example, we	
	currently do not vote at shareholder meetings that require	
	currently do not vote at shareholder meetings that require share blocking: the restriction that is imposed when a vote is	



Activity	BlackRock IJF Dynamic Diversified Growth Fund		
	managers and increases the risk of failed trades, which can be costly to clients. BlackRock may in its discretion determine that the value of voting outweighs the costs of blocking shares from trading, and thus cast the vote and block the shares in that instance.  With regards to US assets, we have approximately a 100% success rate in voting our funds' assets, with the exception of certain portfolios that utilize a long/short strategy whereby the funds leverage may prevent us from voting.  With regards to nonU.S. assets generally, we have approximately a 90% success rate in voting our funds' assets. Of the remaining: 8% were uninstructed due to share blocking, and 2% of the votes go unexecuted result from either the fund's leverage or marketbased impediments such as ballots received post cutoff date or post meeting date, meeting specific power of attorney requirements, special documentation, etc.		
How many times did you vote in favour of management?	6017	How many votes were proposed across the underlying companies in the fund?	6705
How many votes did you abstain from?	71	How many times did you vote against management?	323
Do you have a vote you consider the most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Pes  Dow Inc. 2024-04-11 Commission Audited Report on Reduced Plastics Demand Vote Bulletin; BIS periodically publishes Vote Bulletins on key votes at shareholder meetings to provide insight into details on certain vote decisions we expect will be of particular interest to clients. Our vote bulletins can be found here: https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/about-us/investment-stewardship#vote-bulletins  Against SF-S4578-106] Company already has policies in place to address these issues. Fail "We endeavor to communicate to companies when we intend to vote against management, either before or just after casting votes in advance of the shareholder meeting. We publish our voting guidelines to help clients and companies understand our thinking on key governance matters	Do you have a vote you consider the second most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'second most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	,



Activity	BlackRock IJF Dynamic Diversified Growth Fund		
	that are commonly put to a shareholder vote. They are the benchmark against which we assess a company's approach to corporate governance and the items on the agenda to be voted on at the shareholder meeting. We apply our guidelines pragmatically, taking into account a company's unique circumstances where relevant. Our voting decisions reflect our analysis of company disclosures, third party research and, where relevant, insights from recent and past company engagement and our active investment colleagues.  Our market-specific voting guidelines are available on our website at https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/about-us/investment-stewardship#principles-and-guidelines"		
Do you monitor the carbon emission levels or similar of the underlying companies in the fund?	Yes	What was the actual turnover rate over the last 12 months?	

Activity	JPM Unconstrained Bond Fund		
Do you undertake Engagements for this fund?		Please provide details on why you do not undertake engagements for this fund.	Over 300
Do you engage in voting for this fund?		Please provide details on why you do not engage in voting for this fund.	Over 300
Do you monitor the carbon emission levels or similar of the underlying companies in the fund?	Yes	What was the actual turnover rate over the last 12 months?	487.60

Activity	L&G Life DB World Equity Index Fund		
Do you undertake Engagements for this fund?	Yes		
How many engagements have you had with companies in the past 12 months?		How many engagements were made regarding environmental topics?	1188
How many engagements were made regarding social topics?		How many engagements were made regarding governance topics?	359
Which form of engagement is most representative of the approach taken for this fund over the last 12 months:  • Sending standardised letters to companies Sending bespoke letters to companies  • Standard period engagement with companies  • Active private engagement on specific issues		How many engagements were made regarding other issues?	



Activity	L&G Life DB World Equity Index Fund		
Active public engagement on specific issues			
Please discuss some of the key engagements and outcomes from the last 12 months.	-		
Do you engage in voting for this fund?	Yes		
Do you use a third party to vote on your behalf?  If Yes, please provide the details of your provider and any comments	LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and we do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure our proxy provider votes in LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and we do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure our proxy provider votes in accordance with our position on ESG, we have put in place a custom voting policy with specific voting instructions. For more details, please refer to the Voting Policies section of this document.	Do you conduct your own votes?	LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and we do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure our proxy provider votes in
How many times did you vote in favour of management?	28273	How many votes were proposed across the underlying companies in the fund?	35761
How many votes did you abstain from?	100	How many times did you vote against management?	7285
Do you have a vote you consider the most significant for this fund?:	Apple Inc.     2024-02-28     Report on Risks of Omitting Viewpoint and Ideological Diversity from EEO Policy     Thematic - Diversity: LGIM views diversity as a financially material issue for our clients, with implications for the assets we manage on their behalf.     4.209396     Against     Shareholder Resolution - Environmental and Social: A vote AGAINST this proposal is warranted, as the company appears to be providing shareholders with sufficient disclosure around its diversity and inclusion efforts and nondiscrimination policies,	Do you have a vote you consider the second most significant for this fund?:  • Company name • Date of the Vote • Summary of the resolution • On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'second most significant'? • Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote • How did you vote? • Rationale of the voting decision • Outcome of the vote • Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes,  • Microsoft Corporation • 2024-12-10 • Resolution 9: Report on AI Data Sourcing Accountability • Yes • 4.140507 • For • Shareholder Resolution - Governance: A vote FOR this resolution is warranted as the company is facing increased legal and reputational risks related to copyright infringement associated with its data sourcing practices. While the company has strong disclosures on its approach to responsible AI and related risks, shareholders would benefit from greater attention to risks related to how the company uses



Activity	L&G Life DB World Equity Index Fund		
	and including viewpoint and ideology in EEO policies does not appear to be a standard industry practice.  Fail LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics		third-party information to train its large language models Fail LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
Do you have a vote you consider the third most significant for this fund?:  • Company name • Date of the Vote • Summary of the resolution • On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'third most significant'? • Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote • How did you vote? • Rationale of the voting decision • Outcome of the vote • Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	<ul> <li>Amazon.com, Inc.</li> <li>2024-05-22</li> <li>Resolution 6: Report on Customer Due Diligence</li> <li>Pre-declaration and High-Profile Meeting: This shareholder resolution is considered significant as one of the largest companies and employers not only within its sector but in the world, we believe that Amazon's approach to human capital management issues has the potential to drive improvements across both its industry and supply chain. LGIM voted in favour of this proposal last year and continue to support this request, as enhanced transparency over material risks to human rights is key to understanding the company's functions and organisation. While the company has disclosed that they internally review these for their products (RING doorbells and Rekognition) and has utilised appropriate third parties to strengthen their policies in related areas, there remains a need for increased, especially publicly available, transparency on this topic.</li> <li>Despite this, Amazon's coverage and reporting of risks falls short of our baseline expectations surrounding AI. In particular, we would welcome additional information on the internal education of AI and AI-related risks.</li> <li>2.350059</li> <li>For</li> <li>Shareholder Resolution ' Human Rights: A vote in favour is applied as enhanced transparency over material risks to human rights is key to understanding the company's functions and organisation. While the company has disclosed that they internally review these for some products and has utilised appropriate third parties to strengthen their policies in related areas, there remains a need for increased, especially publicly available, transparency on this topic.</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.</li> </ul>	Do you have a vote you consider the fourth most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'fourth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Alphabet Inc. 2024-06-07 Resolution 1d: Elect Director John L. Hennessy Thematic - Diversity: LGIM views gender diversity as a financially material issue for our clients, with implications for the assets we manage on their behalf. Thematic - One Share One Vote: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as LGIM supports the principle of one share one vote.  1.488922 Against Average board tenure: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects a board to be regularly refreshed in order to maintain an appropriate mix of independence, relevant skills, experience, tenure, and background. Diversity: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects a company to have at least one-third women on the board. Independence: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects a the Chair of the Committee to have served on the board for no more than 15 years in order to maintain independence and a balance of relevant skills, experience, tenure, and background. Independence: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects the Chair of the Board to have served on the board for no more than 15 years and the board to be regularly refreshed in order to maintain an appropriate mix of independence, relevant skills, experience, tenure, and background. Shareholder rights: A vote against is applied because LGIM supports the equitable structure of one-share-one-vote. We expect companies to move to a one-share-one-vote we expect companies to move to a one-share-one-vote we expect companies to move to a one-share-one-vote structure or provide shareholders a regular vote on the continuation of an unequal capital structure.  Pass LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.



Activity	L&G Life DB World Equity Index Fund		
Do you have a vote you consider the fifth most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'fifth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Meta Platforms, Inc. 2024-05-29 Resolution 1.1: Elect Director Peggy Alford Thematic - Diversity: LGIM views gender diversity as a financially material issue for our clients, with implications for the assets we manage on their behalf. 1.41822 Against Diversity: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects a company to have at least one-third women on the board. Lead Independent Director: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects companies to elect an independent lead director where there is a combined Board Chair and CEO. Remuneration: A vote against has been applied as LGIM expects companies to obtain annual shareholder approval of executive directors pay and non-executive directors fees. Remuneration: A vote against is applied because LGIM does not support the use of corporate jets for private use. Remuneration - Malus & Clawback: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects all incentives to be subject to clawback if the vested award is later deemed to be unjustified. Remuneration - Shareholding Guidelines: A vote against is applied as the company does not have a shareholding guideline in place for executives. LGIM believes a shareholding requirement is a good way to align with long term shareholder interests because executives are expected to maintain a proportion of earned shares at risk over the medium term. Remuneration - Performance conditions: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects a sufficient portion of share incentive awards to be assessed against long term performance conditions to ensure alignment of remuneration with company performance. Remuneration - Performance period: A WITHHOLD vote is further warranted for Peggy Alford in her capacity as chair of the compensation, nominating, & governance committee due to consecutive years of high director pay without reasonable rationale disclosed.  N/A LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an A	Do you have a vote you consider the sixth most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'sixth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Eli Lilly and Company 2024-05-06 Resolution 1c: Elect Director Jamere Jackson Thematic - Board Leadership: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is in application of an escalation of our vote policy on the topic of the combination of the board chair and CEO. Against Classified Board: A vote against is applied as LGIM supports a declassified board as directors should stand for re-election on an annual basis. Joint Chair/CEO: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects companies to separate the roles of Chair and CEO due to risk management and oversight concerns. Pass LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
Do you have a vote you consider the seventh most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution	Yes,  • JPMorgan Chase & Co. • 2024-05-21 • Resolution 1c: Elect Director Todd A. Combs • Thematic - Board Leadership: LGIM considers this	Do you have a vote you consider the eighth most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution	Yes  • Broadcom Inc. • 2024-04-22 • Resolution 1g: Elect Director Henry Samueli • Thematic - Climate: LGIM considers this vote to be



Activity	L&G Life DB World Equity Index Fund		
On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'seventh most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	vote to be significant as it is in application of an escalation of our vote policy on the topic of the combination of the board chair and CEO.  0.825121 Against Joint Chair/CEO: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects companies to respond to a meaningful level of shareholder support requesting the company to implement an independent Board Chair.  Pass LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.	On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'eighth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	significant as it is applied under the Climate Impact Pledge, our flagship engagement programme targeting companies in climate-critical sectors. More information on LGIM's Climate Impact Pledge can be found here: https://www.lgim.com/uk/en/responsible-investing/climate-impact-pledge/ 0.82498 Against Climate Impact Pledge: A vote against is applied as the company is deemed to not meet minimum standards with regard to climate risk management. Pass LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
Do you have a vote you consider the ninth most significant for this fund?:  • Company name • Date of the Vote • Summary of the resolution • On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'nineth most significant'? • Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote • How did you vote? • Rationale of the voting decision • Outcome of the vote • Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Berkshire Hathaway Inc.     2024-05-04     Resolution 1.1: Elect Director Warren E. Buffett     Thematic - Board Leadership: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is in application of an escalation of our vote policy on the topic of the combination of the board chair and CEO.     0.76517     Against     Joint Chair/CEO: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects companies to separate the roles of Chair and CEO due to risk management and oversight concerns.     Pass     LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.	Do you have a vote you consider the tenth most significant for this fund?:  Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'tenth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Tesla, Inc. 2024-06-13 Resolution 2: Advisory Vote to Ratify Named Executive Officers' Compensation High Profile meeting: This resolution is considered significant as it pertains to one of our key stewardship 'sub-themes', executive pay.  0.713535 Remuneration - Quantum - One-off Awards: A vote against is applied as LGIM believes that the approved remuneration policy should be sufficient to retain and motivate executives. A vote AGAINST this proposal is warranted. While most NEOs received modest or no compensation for FY23, one executive was granted an outsized, time-based stock option award upon his promotion, the magnitude and design for which are not adequately explained. The grant does not require the achievement of pre-set performance criteria in order to vest and the value is considered to be excessive.  pass LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
Do you monitor the carbon emission levels or similar of the underlying companies in the fund?	Yes the fund produces approximately 116.6 Weighted Average Carbon Emissions Scope 1 + Scope 2 (Tonnes CO2e per 1 million USD Invested) as of 31 December 2024	What was the actual turnover rate over the last 12 months?	

#### **Important Information**



Mobius Life Limited (Mobius Life) has prepared this report for professional advisers and institutional investors only. The purpose of this report is to provide information on Mobius Life's own products and services and nothing in this presentation, or any supporting material, should be regarded as a personal recommendation. This report is not intended for onward transmission.

The value of investments, and the income from them, may go down as well as up and investors may not get back the amount originally invested. Where an investment is denominated in a currency other than sterling, changes in exchange rates between currencies may cause investment values or income to rise or fall. Past performance should not be seen as a reliable indicator of future results. Any past performance quoted is based on dealing valuations.

Within a fund, the asset allocations and choice of asset managers are at the discretion of Mobius Life and may change without notification to the investor.

Where a fund is invested with another Life Company by means of a reinsurance arrangement, Mobius Life monitors the way the reinsurer manages its business, but does not guarantee the solvency of the reinsurer, so the risk of default by the reinsurer is borne by policyholders who invest in the relevant fund(s).

A Liability Driven Investment (LDI) is a specialist fund that uses sophisticated techniques to meet it objective. An LDI fund may invest in a range of assets such as derivatives, swaps and bonds which individually may have a high degree of risk, be difficult to sell in stressed markets and/or be unregulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Leverage is used as part of the management of an LDI fund, this can increase the overall volatility of the fund and any events that adversely affects the value of an investment would be magnified to the extent that leverage is employed.

All information is sourced to Mobius Life unless otherwise stated.

Mobius Life Limited is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority. Mobius Life Administration Services is not authorised or regulated.

Mobius Life Limited (Registered No. 3104978) and Mobius Life Administration Services (Registered No. 5754821) are registered in England and Wales at: 2nd Floor, 2 Copthall Avenue, London EC2R 7DA.







Mobius Life



Institutional Investment Platform Provider of the Year

Mobius Life



Mobius Life





